

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/883,375 06/19/2001		Eva Turley	7841-106	1481	
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER		
Micheline Gravelle Bereskin & Part			ANDRES, JANET L		
Box 401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
40 King Street West Toronto, ON M5H 3Y2			1646		
CANADA			DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/883,375		TURLEY, EVA				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Janet L. And	Iros	1646				
	The MAILING DATE of this communica							
Period for	or Reply							
THE - External after services of the services	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, cation. ays, a reply within the statutor ory period will apply and will e., by statute, cause the applica	, however, may a reply be to ry minimum of thirty (30) da xpire SIX (6) MONTHS fror tition to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>25 August 2004</u> .						
2a) <u></u>								
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>13-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
, —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-15,27 and 28</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requ	uirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 19 June 2001 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)[11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	<u>-</u>	foreign priority under	: 35 U.S.C. & 119 <i>(s</i>	a)-(d) or (f)				
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
- 71	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority do			ion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of t							
	application from the International	•		, and the second				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified	d copies not receive	ed.				
A44- •	w.,							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	41	Interview Occasion	. (DTO 412)				
	e of Aererices Cited (P10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-		Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	oate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT0 r No(s)/Mail Date	D/SB/08) 5) 6)		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group II and species election of STMMSRSHKTRSHH in the reply filed on 25 August 2004 is acknowledged. Claims 13-28 are pending in this application. Claims 13-15, 27, and 28 are withdrawn from consideration as being drawn to a non-elected invention. The requirement for an election of species is withdrawn; all species were searched.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The brief description of figure 1 does not refer to the sequences of the selected clones by reference to the identification number of an entered sequence. In addition, the sequences on p. 31 lack sequence identifiers.

See MPEP §2421.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 17-20 and 22-26 are objected to because they contain sequences that are not referred to by the identification number of an entered sequence. See MPEP §2421.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for inhibition of cell locomotion and of tissue fibrosis using peptides

Application/Control Number: 09/883,375

Art Unit: 1646

consisting of or consisting essentially of those of claims 16-26, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods "modulating" cell locomotion, for methods of preventing fibrosis, or for methods using peptides comprising these sequences. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The factors to be considered have been summarized as the quantity of experimentation necessary, the amount of direction or guidance presented, the presence or absence of working examples, the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the relative skill of those in the art, the predictability or unpredictability of the art and the breadth of the claims. *Ex Parte Forman*, (230 USPQ 546 (Bd Pat. App. & Int. 1986)); *In re Wands*, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claims 16-20 encompass methods of "modulating" cell locomotion. This term encompasses increases in locomotion as well as decreases. However, what is shown is a decrease. Thus the peptides would not predictably be able to "modulate" locomotion as broadly claimed; they only decrease it. Claims 21-26 encompass "prevention" of fibrosis. What is taught by the specification, however, is that the claimed peptides can reduce fibrosis as measured by effects on contraction (figures 18 and 19); however, substantial contraction does occur. Thus, no guidance is presented to indicate that fibrosis could actually be prevented, only that it could, to a degree, be inhibited. Claims 16-26 encompass methods using peptides comprising generic peptides (claims 16 and 21) or comprising particular species (claims 17-20 and 22-26). However, what is shown is the effect of a single representative peptide. "Comprising" is open language with no requirement that the essential characteristics of the peptide be maintained.

Application/Control Number: 09/883,375

Art Unit: 1646

Sequences comprising this or other peptides could thus be expected to have very different functions; no guidance is provided to indicate what additional sequences could be added without changing the inhibitory nature of the peptides. The prior art fails to provide compensatory teachings; as Applicant indicates on pp. 31-32, other peptides have different characteristics. Thus the claims are broadly drawn to encompass effects that would not predictably occur, as well as methods using a broad genus of molecules that would not predictably have the same characteristics as the disclosed sequences. It would thus require undue experimentation for the artisan to practice the invention as broadly claimed.

NO CLAIM IS ALLOWED.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet L. Andres whose telephone number is 571-272-0867. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 571-272-0961. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 09/883,375

Art Unit: 1646

Janet L. Andres, Ph.D. 29 October 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER